Before The **FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION** Washington, D.C.

FILE

ORIGINAL

In the Matter of Amendment of Section 73.202(b), Table of Allotments FM Broadcast Stations (Bay City and Edna, Texas) MM Docket No. 92-56

RM-7551

RECEIVED

MAY 1 8 1992

To: Acting Chief, Allocations Branch

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

COMMENTS OF ROY E. HENDERSON

Roy E. Henderson, ("Henderson"), by his counsel, pursuant to Sections 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules, hereby files his Comments in response to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPR") that was issued in the above-captioned proceeding on March 25, 1992:

The first point to be recognized is that the motivating factor for Henderson in filing Comments in this proceeding is not that he has any opposition to the Commission's proposal to upgrade the channel in Bay City nor to the proposed change in the channel in Edna from 269A to 241A. In fact, the change in Channel in Edna is precisely the same change that Henderson included as part of his own proposal filed in Docket 90-90 on April 27, 1990.

1/ See RM-7412 as further described in Public Notice Report No.

1823 released by the Commission on July 18, 1990. 2/And therein

No. of Copies rec'd 0+24 List A B C D E

^{1/} It is noted here that the proposal was filed by Henderson d/b/a Fort Bend Broadcasting Company, Inc., since changed directly to Roy E. Henderson, individually.

^{2/} It is also noted that changes in the Edna allocation were proposed in Docket 89-459 by the petitioner in that proceeding and that Docket is also still pending.

lies the cause for concern and interest of Henderson in the instant proceeding.

More specifically, it is more than a little disconcerting to Henderson that while he continues to await the Commission's action on Docket 90-90 which has now remained in a 'pending' status for almost two years from the time it was listed on <u>Public Notice</u>, he sees the NPR in this proceeding that suggests that the Commission "...on its own motion..." proposes to adopt a portion of the proposal that Henderson himself made <u>over two years ago</u> and without so much as a recognition that this very proposal is a specific part of Docket 90-90 and continues to await action as part of that proposal.

Had the Edna proposal in this Docket been suggested in conjunction with its proposal in Docket 90-90 and as part of the simultaneous resolution of that docket, we certainly could see the sense of it and there would be no cause for opposition or concern. Conversely, to see this change proposed by the Commission in Docket 92-56 ostensibly "on its own motion" raises obvious concerns that must be addressed.

Clearly, for the commission to suggest that it is proposing this change in Edna on its own motion implies that no party has ever made such a request, thereby making it necessary for the Commission to make the proposal itself, the definition of "on its own motion". With this very proposal languishing for over the past two years in Docket 90-90, this is clearly not the case. The fact that the NPR in Docket 92-56 did not even include a

recognition that the substitution of channel 241A for 269A had been proposed in Docket 90-90 and was in fact still pending and awaiting action in that docket would seem to indicate that this most important fact may have been somehow overlooked.

We, of course, are not in a position to know if this was in fact the case. We must therefore go on record here as protesting the characterization in this rulemaking that the channel change in Edna was on the Commission's own motion. That channel change was and is part of the overall proposal included in Docket 90-90 and should be resolved as part of that pending proceeding. To simply pluck that portion of the Docket 90-90 proposal out and seek to treat it here in a vacuum with no explanation and no consideration of the comments that were timely filed and included in Docket 90-90 would appear to be an egregious and patent violation of the rights of Henderson and every other party in Docket 90-90 to the administrative due process to which they are entitled.

Wherefore, Henderson hereby states his most strenuous objection to the consideration of the change in allocation at Edna, Texas in Docket 92-56 until and unless it is in conjunction with a prior or simultaneous resolution of the complete Petition submitted by Henderson in Docket 90-90 of which the Edna allocation is one part.

ROY E. HENDERSON

Røbert J. Buenzle

His Counsel

Law Offices
Robert J. Buenzle
12110 Sunset Hills Road
Suite 450
Reston, Virginia 22090
(703) 715-3006

May 18, 1992

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Robert J. Buenzle, do hereby certify that copies of the foregoing COMMENTS OF ROY E. HENDERSON have been served by United States mail, postage prepaid this 18th day of May, 1992 upon the following:

*Michael C. Ruger Chief, Allocations Branch Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, N.W. Room 8334 Washington, D.C. 20554

Dennis P. Corbett, Esq. Leventhal, Senter, and lerman 2000 K Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20006 Counsel for Ammerman

Joseph Smitherman P.O. Box 832 Cameron, Texas 76520

Freckles Broadcasting Corp. 3917 Old Oaks
Bryan, Texas 77801

Don Werlinger, Consultant Broadcast Development Group 7819 Manassas Drive Austin, Texas 78745

Karl F. Bailey KDBH-FM P.O. Box 607 Natchitoches, Louisiana 71548

Stuart McRae 3225Coral Rock lane Dallas, Texas 75248

Leonard Worden 11502 Canyon Trail Houston, Texas 77066 AAron Shainis, Esq.
Baraff Koerner Olender & Hochberg
5335 Wisconsin Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20015
Counsel for Robert M. Mason

R. Clark Wadlow, Esquire Sidley & Austin 1722 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 Counsel for Fayette

J. Dominic Monahan, Esq.
Peter H. Doyle, Esq.
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1255 23rd Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20037
Counsel for Radio Lee County
and the Rusk Corporation

John E. Fiorini III, Esq. Gardner Carton & Douglas 1301 K Street, N.W., Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005 Counsel for KIXS-FM

David D. Oxenford Jr., Esq. Fisher Wayland Cooper & Leader 1255 23rd Street, N. W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20037 Counsel for KRTX-FM

James P. Riley, Esq.
Fletcher Heald & Hildreth
1225 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for May Broadcasting

Meena Shah Youngblood 3643 Marywood Spring, Texas 77388

Ashton R. Hardy, Esq.
Walker, Bordelon, Hamlin,
Theriot & Hardy
701 South Peters Street
New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
Counsel for KSIG/KTQQ

Michael R. Gardner, Esq. Law Offices of Michael R. Gardner, P.C. 1150 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Suite 710 Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for Texas Classical

Ramsey L. Woodworth, Esq. Wilkes, Artis, Hedrick & Lane 1666 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 Counsel for Group W

Robert J. Buenzle

*Hand Delivered